UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,571	05/16/2005	Takuhiro Kondo	Got 202NP	5502
23995 RABIN & Berd	7590 10/08/200 lo, PC	EXAMINER		
1101 14TH STI		NGUYEN, VU Q		
SUITE 500 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/516,571	KONDO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	I	1

	VU Q. NGUYEN	3657	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c).	date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and a considered amendment and a cons	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [    how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	owable if submitted in a separate, t  ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,6 and 8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	otice of Appeal will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing.	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> </ul>		CONDITION ANOWARD	de pecause.
13. Other:	1. 1.5,50,00) 1 apol 140(5).		
/Robert A. Siconolfi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3657			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Johnson teaches only a single cylinder comprising the isolator member 60 and the shell assembly 62 in contact with the ball nut 52, and fails to teach any external cylinder. Applicant concludes that Johnson cannot reasonably be considered to teach or to suggest that a ball nut does not contact an inner circumference of an external cylinder, as recited in claim 1.

However, it should be clarified that Johnson is not relied upon to teach an external cylinder (external to an internal cylinder). Mitsunori (JP '149) already discloses an external cylinder (6) and an internal cylinder (12), as clearly shown in the figures. Accordingly, Johnson is only relied upon to teach that a ball nut can advantageously be surrounded by an isolator/shell assembly. Applying this teaching, the ball nut (11) of JP '149 would be surrounded by an isolator/shell assembly, and therefore, the ball nut (11) would not contact an inner circumference of the external cylinder (6). Thus, the Examiner maintains that the combination, if seen as a whole, meets all the limitations of the claims.